Licence Holders Response to additional Police Evidence served at 17.09hrs on the 18^{th of} October 2022

- **Doc 2** this letter is highly suspicious. Never employed a blonde female. Have monthly stock takes from an external company so free pouring would have been flagged up on stock takes. Has this intelligence been graded or followed up on?
- **Doc 3-**No Comment
- Doc 4- Describes incident with Male A explanation already covered in the written submission
- Doc 5-Male A
- **Doc 6** Radio damaged in car incident, invoice received on the 15^{th of} October 2021. Invoice paid on the 15^{th of} October invoice SI-12504. Was supplied a temporary radio by BID there was a protracted delay with the new radio being supplied.
- **Doc 7-** This conviction is in the public domain. First became aware of the issue when the LH read it in the Gazette. All correspondence regarding this matter was sent to 52 High Street so never received a notification to attend court. This issue arose following a relationship breakdown. The technical offence of using a business name. Couldn't rectify some of the Copy Right issues as the Company name is still owned by Gem Gold (the landlords)
- Doc 8- No comment to be added
- Doc 9 Comments to be provided next to Doc 33
- **Doc 11** DS dealing with casualty naturally they would have thought that the police had the powers to arrest
- **Doc 12** Abusive and uncooperative with the police what could have his behaviour been with DS and management
- **Doc 13** Kaos does not tolerate racism or homophobia and has many security logs to demonstrate action.
- **Doc14** The premises are dealing with issues inside not standing by and letting it happen.
- **Doc 15**-. No comment to be added other than to say this demonstrates the highly focused evidence-gathering campaign
- **Doc 16-** Premise voluntary closed that night; LH was on duty and recalls the incident and doesn't recognise the description
- Doc 17- No comments to be made
- **Doc 18** Financial irregularities will be amplified regarding invoices, this is the source of tensions between the LH and the Security Company. Provision is 155.5 hrs per week some invoices had increased to 170 hrs @£14.00. LH needed to understand forecasting for budgeting purposes.
- Doc 19 No comments to be added
- **Doc 20-** Makes a reasonable concession that more police are required at the East end of the street. The LH has been calling for this for some considerable time.

- **Doc 21**-A lot of injured people in the area not associated with the premises LH calls in reports, taxi rank and takeaways in proximity plus a major thoroughfare where people converge
- Doc 22—No comments no incident record on file for this date
- **Doc 23-** Did not enter the premises the flats above are privately occupied and LH has no remit over the tenant's behaviour, has previously barred some of the tenants who live above.
- **Doc 24** The area is problematic due to a concentration of premises.
- **Doc 25 & 26** –same spelling mistake of Kaos and the same typeface calling it KOAS, this indicates pro formas or sharing of statements and supports the suggestion that it has been a focused evidence-gathering exercise
- Doc 27- Dealt with in original submission
- Doc 28- Door staff were dismissed shortly after this issue was raised
- Doc 29- Dealt with in the original submission
- Doc 30- Male A
- **Doc 31-** Acted on advice, alarms on the doors, wands on the premises recalls only one incident of people sneaking through the rear door the LH witnessed this and intervened immediately to eject these people
- Doc 32- Dealt with in written submission
- **Doc 33-** Parts of the report were acted on about signage, the rear door and gate are more complex, it is a fire escape route for residents of the flats and should be a gate that opens in the direction of travel. thumb turn previously fitted but no use.
- Doc 34- Dealt with in the original submission
- **Doc 35** DS not wearing his badge reported lost or stolen. No offence if the badge has been lost or stolen and the Authority advised.
- **Doc 36-** LH has agreed to numerous covert ops to detect spiking issues, Action plan items were adopted where possible which led to further issues of refusals which resulted in conflict at the door no support to facilitate some of these actions ie no high visibility policing to assist.
- Doc 37- Male A
- Doc 41- Previously identified in the police information as being suitable and sufficient
- **Doc 42-** Rape incident particularly alarming. LH was on duty recalls the incident, and directly assisted the female. Went to get her friend who refused to come out. DS was instructed to keep an eye on her, she appeared to be in the company of other male friends. LH believes he did as much as could be done and understands sensitive subjects such as this and is fully briefed on the Ask Angela scheme

Doc 43- This incident was the individual who smashed the window

Doc 44-46 No comments

Doc 47- Incident report provided in Annex 6 of the respondent's bundle

Doc 48- 284 incidents or logs resulting in 29 arrests, likely that an even smaller proportion face formal action LH does his best to support any prosecutions for example the car ramming the doors.